NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES. *See* Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24

FILED BY CLERK
MAY 28 2010

COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION TWO

Tucson

Attorney for Appellant

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS STATE OF ARIZONA DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,)
) 2 CA-CR 2009-0403
Appellee,) DEPARTMENT B
•)
v.) <u>MEMORANDUM DECISION</u>
) Not for Publication
JAMIE MARTINEZ,	Rule 111, Rules of
) the Supreme Court
Appellant.)
)
APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GILA COUNTY	
Cause No. CR20070307	
Honorable Robert Duber II, Judge	

AFFIRMED

BRAMMER, Judge.

Emily Danies

¶1 Following a two-day jury trial held in his absence, appellant Jamie Martinez was convicted of possession of a dangerous drug, a class four felony; possession of marijuana, a class six felony; and possession of drug paraphernalia, also a

class six felony.¹ Pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-901.01, the trial court suspended the imposition of sentence on all charges and placed Martinez on probation for a period of thirty-six months, to commence upon his discharge from Arizona Department of Corrections in a related case, CR20070084. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), stating she has reviewed the record diligently and has found no meritorious issues to raise on appeal. In compliance with *Clark*, counsel has provided a "detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record, [so] this court can satisfy itself that counsel has in fact thoroughly reviewed the record." 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, 2 P.3d at 97. Counsel has asked us to search the record for fundamental error. Martinez has not filed a supplemental brief.

Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdicts, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), the evidence was sufficient to support the convictions. On November 11, 2006, after Martinez was arrested for driving with a suspended license, an inventory search of the vehicle's glove compartment revealed one ziplock "baggie" containing a usable quantity of marijuana, four "tear offs" containing usable quantities of methamphetamine, a green pipe containing a usable quantity of marijuana, and a yellow pipe containing a usable quantity of

¹Martinez initially had been charged with possession of a dangerous drug for sale, but the trial court granted the state's motion to eliminate the "for sale" allegation. Martinez also had been charged with two counts of possession of drug paraphernalia, but the court also granted the state's motion to dismiss one of those counts.

methamphetamine. Martinez exuded an odor of marijuana, and his passenger testified

that Martinez had removed from his pockets and handed to him "bags of things" and a

glass pipe to put in the glove compartment right before Martinez's arrest. Pursuant to our

obligation under Anders, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error

and have found none. Therefore, we affirm Martinez's convictions and sentences.

/s/J. William Brammer, Jr.

J. WILLIAM BRAMMER, JR., Judge

CONCURRING:

18/ Peter J. Eckerstrom

PETER J. ECKERSTROM, Presiding Judge

/s/ Garye L. Vásquez

GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Judge